



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Woodlaw Delice J. WOODROW ELDRED PRIMARY EXAMINER

Washington, D.C. 20231 APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 4.5 BEERS 06/30/98 09/107,141 PM82/0207 021839 ELDRED, BURNS DOANE SWECKER & POST OFFICE BOX 1404 MATHIS L L P ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404 ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER 016499-546 DATE MAILED: 02/87/201 Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS ADVISORY ACTION NOT. of Appeal 2-28-01 a) will expire_ months from the date of the final Office action (including extensions of time granted). b) applies either (1) three months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed 1-29-01 _has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. 1. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed a heart of the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed to be convincing to heart of the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed to be convincing to heart of the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed to be convincing to the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed to be convincing to the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed to be convincing to the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed to be convincing to the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed to be convincing to the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed to be convincing to the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed to be convincing to the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed to be convincing to the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed to be convincing to the proposed amendment is necessary and was not seed to be convincing to the proposed amendment is necessary and the proposed amendment is ne RECEIVED b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). FEB 0 8 2501 d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issue for appeal. e. \square They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims 2. Newly proposed or amended claim would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment \Box will be entered \Box will not be entered and the status of the claims Claim allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However, Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. X The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not over as the attraction exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered out does not overcome the reflection because applicant fails to consider the reflection discharge at column 7 fines 30-44 (as noted in the Office Action) which teach the use of different "physical characteristics of the fibers" to produce 445 streams of different purities. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applications. wn good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier \square The proposed drawing correction \square has \square has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA).